UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHELLE SALINAS and RAYMEL WASHINGTON, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, Plaintiff(s), V. BLOCK, INC. and CASH APP INVESTING	CON: TO M	No. 4:22-CV-04823 SENT OR DECLINATION IAGISTRATE JUDGE SDICTION
Defendant(s).		
INSTRUCTIONS: Please indicate below are the party) or the party you represent or decline to magistrate judge jurisdictio CONSENT to Magistrate Judge In accordance with the provision United States magistrate judge conduct a entry of final judgment. I understand that United States Court of Appeals for the N	(if you are an attom in this matter. So in this matter. So in the soft 28 U.S.C. § (all further proceed that appeal from the soft 28 U.S.C. § (all further proceed that appeal further proced that appeal further proced that appeal	orney in the case) choose(s) to consent Sign this form below your selection. 1636(c), I voluntarily consent to have a dings in this case, including trial and
OR		
☑ DECLINE Magistrate Judg	e Jurisdiction	
In accordance with the provision magistrate judge conduct all further procreassigned to a United States district jud	eedings in this ca	636(c), I decline to have a United States ase and I hereby request that this case be
DATE: October 3 , 20 22	NAME:	Aravind Swaminathan
		Aravind Swaminathan
	COLINICEL FOR	Signature
	COUNSEL FOR (OR "PRO SE"):	Defendants Block, Inc. and Cash App

Investing, LLC